## MEETING

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION BOARD GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

ROOM 1140

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2016 2:15 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

## APPEARANCES

## COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Mr. Bill Slaton, Chairperson

Mr. Richard Costigan, Vice Chairperson

Mr. Michael Bilbrey

Mr. Rob Feckner

Mr. J.J. Jelincic

Mr. Henry Jones

Mr. Ron Lind

#### **BOARD MEMBERS:**

Mr. John Chiang, represented by Mr. Grant Boyken

Mr. Richard Gillihan

Ms. Dana Hollinger

Ms. Priya Mathur

Ms. Theresa Taylor

Ms. Betty Yee, represented by Mr. Alan Lofaso

## STAFF:

Ms. Anne Stausboll, Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Matt Jacobs, General Counsel

Ms. Barbara Cody, Committee Secretary

# APPEARANCES CONTINUED

# ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. Terry Brennand, Service Employees International Union Mr. Neal Johnson, Service Employees International Union, Local 1000

Mr. George Linn, Retired Public Employees Association

I N D E X		PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Roll Call	1
2.	Election of Board Governance Chair and Vice Chair	2
3.	Executive Report	4
4.	Consent Items Action Consent Items: a. Approval of the December 15, 2015 Board Governance Committee Meeting Minutes	5
5.	Consent Items Information Consent Items: a. Annual Calendar Review	б
Action Agenda Items		
6.	Term Rotation for President and Committee Chairs	7
Information Agenda Items		
7.	Update on Board Education Program	22
8.	Summary of Committee Direction	24
9.	Public Comment	24
Adjournment		25
Reporter's Certificate		26

# PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: All right. According to Apple time and the average of the two clocks in the room, it's time to call the Governance meeting of February 17th to order. We'll start with the roll call.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Bill Slaton?

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Richard Costigan?

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Michael Bilbrey?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BILBREY: Good afternoon.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Rob Feckner?

COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: J.J. Jelincic?

COMMITTEE MEMBER JELINCIC: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Henry Jones?

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Here.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Ron Lind?

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: Here.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: All right. Thank you very much. We have a full complement of Committee members. I want to thank Mr. Jelincic for joining -- be willing to join the Committee. Welcome. And I want to thank Ms. Hollinger for her work last year in being on the

25 | Committee. And in addition to Ms. Hollinger, Ms. Taylor

is here, Ms. Mathur is here, Mr. Lofaso representing the Controller, and Mr. Boyken representing the Treasurer. So welcome, everybody, and thanks for being here.

Did I catch everybody or did I leave anybody out?
I think not.

All right. The next item -- next item on the agenda is the election of Board Governance Chair and Vice Chair. We'll start with the Chair. And for that, I'll turn the microphone over to the Vice Chair.

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We are going to entertain a motion for the Chair of the Governance Committee.

For that, I will call on Mr. Jones.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Costigan. I would like to nominate Mr. Slaton for Chair of the Governance Committee.

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: Okay. So Mr. Slaton has been nominated by Mr. Jones for Chair of the Governance Committee.

Are there any other nominations?

Are there any other nominations?

Seeing no other nominations.

We will just do, by acclamation, all those in favor of electing Mr. Slaton as Chair of the Governance Committee, say aye?

3

```
(Ayes.)
1
             VICE CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Opposed?
 2
             Motion carries. Congratulations.
 3
 4
             (Applause.)
5
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Thank you.
                                               Thank you.
             All right. Next item is the election of the Vice
6
7
    Chair. And for that, I will call on Mr. Bilbrey.
8
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BILBREY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
9
    It is my pleasure and honor to nominate Richard Costigan
10
    as Vice Chair of the Board Governance Committee.
11
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Are there any further
12
   nominations?
             Any further nominations?
13
14
             Third and last time, any other nominations?
15
             Nominations are closed.
16
             So we'll move by acclamation the election of
17
   Richard Costigan as Vice Chair of governance.
18
             All those in favor say aye?
19
             (Ayes.)
20
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON:
                                  Opposed?
             Motion carries. Congratulations.
21
22
             VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: Thank you.
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: You get applause. Come on.
23
24
    Come on. Come on.
25
             (Applause.)
```

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Next, the Executive Report, Mr. Jacobs.

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Thank you, and good afternoon, Mr. Slaton, Mr. Costigan, and the rest of the Committee. And congratulations to both of you, Mr. Slaton and mr. Costigan for your reelections.

I just handed out, before we got started here, a document that we call the Board Governance Committee

Parking Lot. There are some extra copies at the table at the back of the room for the public or for anybody else who might like a copy. So I think there may be some discussion about whether that's complete or not or whether something needs to be added to that.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Well, I think that I would look for Committee members or other Board members as they have other items that you think are not on this, because this would build -- continue to build the calendar for the year. So if you have those, you can direct them to Mr. Jacobs or to myself, or to the Vice Chair, and we'll add them to the calendar.

I do have one that I would think we need to add to the parking lot. And that is we're in the process, and we're going to have a discussion later today regarding the selection of a new CEO. But I note that in the -- and we've created a selection committee -- a subcommittee, and

they have already been meeting. And we're, of course, under a time constraint. But if we look at our governance policy, that assignment has been delegated by the full Board to the Performance and Compensation Committee.

So I think we need to true that up, and so that should be a process that we, I think, want to have a conversation in Governance. I don't want to discuss the details today, just put it on the parking lot to decide if that should be, in fact, a delegated responsibility, or whether it should be pulled back to be a full Board responsibility, because it seems to me that the number one responsibility of a board is the hiring of the CEO, and then we can choose to delegate further, if we choose to.

So I would like to add that to the parking lot.

Others, you don't have to speak up today, unless you wish
to, and you can -- we can do that off-line to add

additional items, which will, in turn, build our calendar.

Next, the action consent, approval of the minutes of December 15th, 2015.

Do I hear a motion?

COMMITTEE MEMBER BILBREY: Move approval.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Second.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Motion from Bilbrey, second from Jones.

All those in favor say aye?

6

```
1
             (Ayes.)
 2
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Opposed?
             Motion carries.
 3
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JELINCIC: Abstain.
 4
5
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: An abstention from Mr.
6
    Jelincic.
7
             And next, the information consent items, annual
8
    calendar review. We haven't -- it's not in your packet.
    And you'll see that we're going to try to build that off
9
10
    of the parking lot issues, and we'll build the calendar as
11
    we go forward for governance.
12
             By the way, I did want to remind you that the --
    in the last meeting, we did discuss the Board's
13
14
    self-appraisal, which is the responsibility of this
15
    Committee. And we are seeking proposals as we speak for
16
    Board self-appraisal consultant to provide that service to
17
    us. And we're doing it off the spring-fed pool list, is
18
    that correct, Mr. Jacobs?
19
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Yes, it is.
20
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Good. So stay tuned.
21
    We'll have more information on that. I think we moved the
22
    deadline, did we not, to December 31st?
23
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS:
                                      Right. At the last
24
    meeting, we moved the deadline to the end of the year.
```

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Very good.

25

right. We move to Item number 6, Action Agenda Item, Term Rotation for President and Committee Chair. And what we did in the last meeting of this Committee, it was the consensus of the group that we should come back this next -- at the next meeting with some language. I asked Mr. Jacobs to draft some language that reflected how the discussion ended at the last meeting.

And you have that in your packet, and it's a modification to Roman Numeral VII C in Governance, and in Item VIII A. And those both are language there's -- essentially an identical one applies to the President of the Board, the other one applies to committee chairs.

And I just want to say at the outset, before we start the conversation, we have and continue to have great leadership here at CalPERS. We have a President who is outstanding. We have committee chairs, some of whom who've held their positions for a long time, others who have a shorter time, but all of them have performed in an outstanding manner. And the discussion is really whether we should have some guidelines in our governance model as to whether we should have some rotation model. And that's the genesis of the original conversation and why we're -- we're back here today now with some specific language to consider.

So with that, I would open up the floor for a

discussion, et cetera.

Mr. Jones.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I looked at the language and it is exactly like we discussed. And the majority of the Committee concurred last time, so I move this proposed revision to the Board Governance policy.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. It's been moved and --

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: Second.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: There's a motion from Jones, second from Costigan. So now we can open up for discussion on the motion.

Mr. Lind.

able to be here for this part of the discussion at the last Governance Committee meeting, but I do have an opinion on it. I know a lot of people feel strongly about this. You know, through my entire life, any time I've had the opportunity to vote against a term limits sort of proposal, I have done so, because I just -- I just kind of feel strongly about that.

I think if -- and I've been thinking through this the last couple days. I mean, if we, as a group, have consensus that we want to rotate, I'll start with

committee chairs, then I think we ought to just do that. I don't know that we need to have some sort of mandate to make that happen. I think we all sort of understand it. And, you know, people are getting the message that that's the direction people want to head, I think we could just make that happen.

On the other hand, if I want to have the opportunity to vote for Henry Jones as the Chair of the Investment Committee until he's 95 years old, I want to have the right to do that --

(Laughter.)

2.4

COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: -- if he determines that he wants to stay there. You know, with respect to Board President, you know, I think if the incumbent Board President -- I'm not including names in this obviously. We're just talking concepts. But if the incumbent Board President wants to run for election, and if somebody else thinks that he or she wants to be the Board President, then he or she ought to figure out how to count to seven, and get elected as the Board President.

It can be awkward, it can be messy, but often democracy is that way. So I won't be supporting the motion.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Other discussion? Mr. Jelincic.

COMMITTEE MEMBER JELINCIC: Yeah. I'll point out that we have a -- in our policy that we should rotate.

And as a 30-year observer of this place, we don't rotate.

We get concerned about whether if I challenge somebody will I lose my perks, will I alienate my friendships?

And this -- you know, and I share your concern about term limits. But this sort of imposes a discipline on us. It says, you know, after a period of time, you have to go out. You're perfectly, you know, free to come back. So in many ways, it reflects a failure of the Board to actually -- it's an abdication of our own policy. And this kind of puts us in handcuffs and say, you're going to live with your policy. So I -- I'm going to support the policy.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Yes, Mr. Bilbrey.

COMMITTEE MEMBER BILBREY: So I, too, have been thinking about this since the last meeting and the discussion. And I am very much like Mr. Lind about term limits. That's something that is not always productive, I think, in some certain circumstances. I also worry that if the Committee is working on a very -- has a specific project or issue going on, that carries over from one year to another, and it may have -- may need to have continued leadership to keep things going in continuity and making sure that it's done to fruition.

I don't think we want to have any prohibition of someone who has been leading a project to continue to do that, if we think that it's been going well, and let it continue.

Additionally, we have a process. We're all here to do the work for our members. And if someone chooses to want to run, they should choose to, and not worry about any other perks, friendships, whatever. We're here to do the business of Calpers and our members.

And so if we wish to serve, we should take that leap forward and put our name out there, if we wish to. The same thing with the Board President. We do that every year, I think, for a reason the more I've thought about it, for the reason if we wanted to make a change or if somebody wanted to move forward.

It is yearly, which I think is a good process, if that change wanted to happen. So I also will not be supporting the motion.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Mr. Costigan.

VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: So I don't know the best way -- how we phrase. We talk about term limits, but everybody on this Board is already subject to term limits. I mean, so let's start with that premise. I mean, so we all -- some are shorter than others, some are pleasure appointments, some are fixed terms, some are elected

terms.

So what I have struggled with this policy, and why I will support it, I look at it as -- first of all, Mr. Bilbrey, you raise excellent points about Committee assignments coming on. In a perfect world, the way I would envision this working is your chair and your vice chair work in tandem. You're talking about potentially eight years of consistency in leadership and vice leadership. That as that Committee Chair moves on, that vice chair moves up, because I see it as a growth issue.

We're all capped out. Everybody -- this is a unique time in CalPERS history. This Board is clicking. It's going well. Folks are well working together. The problem is how do you talk about change and how do you talk about invigorating what -- how we all serve?

You guys chair other committees that other folks might like to be on or to be chair of. There's no growth path. And what I look at is not necessarily, Mr. Lind as you raise it as, term limits, because I struggle both ways on it. But first, let's all start with we're all subject to term limits. These aren't lifetime appointments like a federal judge. So we're already subject to some length of term in our service.

The other is how do we approach it from growth. From new Board member to vice chair to committee chair to

President of the Board, that's almost a 12-year period that someone could be here, if you were just looking at growth from a vice chair to a chair to a board member. That's a long amount of time to be here.

And so I support it, because more in the context of not term limits, but in the -- it's -- we become experts in a certain subject area, and then move on, because I think it only enhances the institution if we all have the knowledge and experience across multiple committees. So thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Further comments from either Committee members or other Board members who are here?

Ms. Taylor.

BOARD MEMBER TAYLOR: So my only -- I understand why we're looking at possibly instituting term limits. I agree that I don't -- in our regular political world, I don't agree with term limits in general. But I think that four consecutive one terms is kind of short, because I think it takes -- I've been here a year and two months, and I feel like I don't even really have a handle on everything yet. So I would imagine somebody who's chair and vice chair of say the Investment Committee, that might take longer to feel like you really know what you're doing. And maybe the Pension and Health Benefits

Committee, I think we're looking at something like that maybe.

2.4

While I don't know that I would support the term limits in general, I think I might be more supportive if you were to make those limits a little longer, rather than four consecutive one-year terms. That would be my input right now. That's kind of where I'm at.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Ms. Gillihan.

BOARD MEMBER GILLIHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I come from the school that change is good over time. It does breathe new life into an organization. It brings fresh perspectives to a level of being heard that they may not otherwise be heard.

With respect to Ms. Taylor's comments, I can appreciate them on some level, but I feel like somebody vying for a leadership position in a particular committee is going to have spent some time here getting to that point, and likely will spend some time as a vice chair getting further seasoning before actually getting in the driver's seat.

And I think there's value in other folks having a chance to lead these committees. It is a development thing, as Mr. Costigan said. And the fact is some of us would never have a chance to get some of these positions, if it were not for some forced opportunity to reconsider

leadership at particular points in time.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Other comments?

Ms. Hollinger.

BOARD MEMBER HOLLINGER: Yeah. I understand both sides of the equation, but I also think that we look for these traits in the companies that we invest. You know, sometimes we're looking at term limits, diversity, if we're -- so I think we have to lead by being an example of what we expect in the companies that we invest in. So I would favor -- I'm not sure how long it has to be, but I would favor rotation, because I think it gives us all a chance to actually have a better understanding of the organization through the different committees chairing them, et cetera. It makes us better.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Other comments?

So I would just make a couple of observations. I think that -- I agree with Mr. Gillihan that refreshment is a good thing for organizations. I also -- and I haven't been here that long, but I've heard the war stories of when one person wants to continue in a leadership role and someone else challenges that person. And from the stories I've heard, that's not necessarily a positive experience for the organization. It is democratic clearly, one person gets more votes than the other person.

But in terms of the cohesion of the organization and the way -- the collegial nature of an organization like this and a board, it has, from what I've heard, not necessarily been always positive when that kind of a battle occurs.

So I think nature would say we -- in theory -- the theory is we should just, you know, when someone wants to run, they run, and someone wants to step down, they step down. But the reality is human nature is not necessarily perfect, and so we end up with what we have.

These are our rules. They're not -- we're not submitting these for a legislative initiative or a constitutional amendment. So -- and since they're our rules, it means we can change them. So I would suggest we might want to consider giving something like this a try, see how it works, and then we can see what happens.

So -- but anyway, I think the time has come, because I don't see any other requests -- oh, we have public comment on this item. So let me find my pieces of paper here.

Where are they?

There they are. So first George Linn. And there's a microphone over here.

MR. LINN: Committee Chair, committee members, I'm a CalPERS member. I'm speaking here today as a CalPERS member, because I think that voting for the members that sit on the Board is an important task for members. So what I'm proposing is that the chair of the organization always come from one of the groups that the members vote, that way we members always have a direct link to the President of the Board.

And I think that if you're looking at where it falls in the California Public Employees' Retirement Law, there's a section 20090 sub (g), which would be the ones that I would propose be the ones eligible for the position of President.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Thank you.

Neal Johnson.

MR. JOHNSON: Neal Johnson. I also am a CalPERS member and also a representative of SEIU Local 1000. And I remember a couple of months ago listening to the discussion. And I understand the interest in moving the positions around. Mr. Costigan talked about how different -- we have different terms, and people come and go.

But I think this proposal really is one of something looking for a problem. I have not seen a particular problem with the -- how chairs and the President behave or operate. It also -- experience is a very good thing. And both the chair and the President

have, besides the subject matter expertise, they are the one that really controls the flow, build the team, et cetera. And those are very unique skills. And to simply assume you can rotate that through people, I think is a simplistic view of the world. And so I think to specify a set length of term really flows against where this organization is, and what it should do, and I think you don't really want to do that.

Thank you

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Thank you.

And Terry Brennand.

MR. BRENNAND: I've been listening to the discussion and it's pretty fascinating. SEIU has always opposed term limits in every way, shape, or form. And Mr. Lind says democracy is messy. The only thing messier than democracy is democracy with term limits.

I have a little bit of experience with this, so does Mr. Lofaso, Mr. Costigan. Dealing with the legislature with just six years, right, three terms in the Assembly. And I know you guys are all -- I don't want to compare you to your average legislator. That might be an insult, but it takes them about two years to find the bathrooms. It takes them another two years to develop an expertise in a certain area, and then they're left with two years to dry and move any legitimate policy that they

have now become an expert in.

2.4

I'd hazard a guess, the stuff you do is -- not guess, I know. It's far more complicated than the average dog resolution. It's very complicated. It takes time to learn finance, investment, health care, who the players are, how it's going.

If you're going to walk down this term limits sort of path, you might want to think about the experience we just had in the legislature, where we've gone to 12 years, and it's going -- the term limits we had didn't work. About the time people got expertise, they were gone. And you're now saying four years, about the time you actually know what you're doing in a certain detailed, very minute subject matter, you're toast.

That makes absolutely no sense to me. It seems like you're forcing -- I mean, in a democratic system, you're restricting your options. You're saying every four years, six of you are not eligible to continue to serve or seven, if you include the Board Chair, in the position you've distinguished yourself in.

Be careful what you're doing. It's a mistake. We've seen it in the legislature. I'd urge you to reject this.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Thank you very much.

Just one editorial comment. In this particular

```
case, I don't think you're toast, because you don't go off the Board. You come back on, and you can come back on as a chair -- committee chair or President. So there's a little difference between that.
```

And I think this is -- it's clearly, what I hear from the discussion, a balance between let the democratic process work and let experience work versus the ability to refresh and share the responsibility among more Board members. And I think that's -- that's a legitimate debate. And we've had a good debate here today. And depending on how the vote goes, we may have a debate on this tomorrow. We'll have to see.

So any further discussion from anyone?

I don't see any.

So with that, I would call for Mr. Jones' motion. All those in favor on the -- who are voting will be just the Committee members.

All those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

20 CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Opposed?

21 (Noes.)

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. I think we need to have a -- can we do it by a show of hands or we have to do a roll call? What do we do, Mr. Jacobs?

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: I think we need a roll

2.1

```
1
   call.
 2
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. So would you do a
3
    roll call. And do I vote only if a tie or do I vote?
 4
    What's the protocol here?
5
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Only if a tie.
6
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Only if a tie.
7
             Thank you.
8
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Bill Slaton?
9
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Not voting yet. We'll see.
10
    See what happens.
11
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Richard Costigan?
             VICE CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: Aye.
12
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY:
13
                                        Michael Bilbrey?
14
             COMMITTEE MEMBER BILBREY:
                                        No.
15
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY:
                                        Rob Feckner?
16
             COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER:
                                        No.
17
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY:
                                        J.J. Jelincic?
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JELINCIC: Aye.
18
19
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Henry Jones?
20
             COMMITTEE MEMBER JONES: Aye.
             COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Ron Lind?
21
22
             COMMITTEE MEMBER LIND: No.
23
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: It's three to three, Mr.
24
   Chair.
25
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Is that three, three?
```

1 Okay.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY CODY: Bill Slaton?

3 CHAIRPERSON SLATON: I vote aye.

So that means this proposal will come before the Board from Governance Committee as a recommendation from the Committee tomorrow, and we will be able to have a continuing robust conversation. I thank everybody for participating in this and be willing to give your thoughtful comments on it, because any time we decide to -- whether or not to make a change, it's a challenge. So I thank you for that.

We now move to Item number 7, Update on Board Education Program. Ms. Stausboll.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER STAUSBOLL: Thank you,
Mr. Chair. I have a very quick update on the Board
Education Program. And there are just two things I wanted
to bring to your attention.

First is a proposed program schedule for calendar year 2016. It's Attachment B. It's a proposed schedule. It sets out 12 hours of Board education. It's based on the policy, the statute, and most of all input we've received from you.

And then the other item is in Attachment C, it's the report. This is an annual report for the calendar year 2015. We're required by statute to post this annual

report on our website. Our policy -- your policy sets out that we would do so in March. So we plan to post the report, as you see it here in Attachment C, on March 1. And I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Yes. Mr. Boyken.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER BOYKEN: Thank you.

So in the attachment that shows everybody's hours, that came from the educational events that are usually weaved into Board week. But I'm wondering, the Treasurer wanted to know as well, is there a process for education that we might get at conferences or say we're at another pension system that -- and what would be the process then for saying, here, I did this?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER STAUSBOLL: Yes. The short answer is yes, there's a process. So you'll see in the report, in Attachment C, there's a column at the far right that's titled, "Other". And there's a form that's available. It's in your diligent resource manual that you can complete, list the conference or program that you attended, how many hours it was, and send it in. And then we'll include that on the report.

ACTING BOARD MEMBER BOYKEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Okay. Any comments,

questions about that?

All right.

2.4

```
1
             CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER STAUSBOLL: Thank you.
 2
             CHAIRPERSON COSTIGAN: The Summary of Committee
   Direction. Let's see, do we have any?
3
 4
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: I think I got away
5
   without any homework.
6
             (Laughter.)
7
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: We could try to come up with
8
    something.
9
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: It's a free day.
10
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: It's a free day for you.
11
             And I don't have any requests to speak for
12
   general public comment. Any other speakers desire to take
13
    the microphone?
14
             Okay. Good. There's no other elected officials
15
    in the room apparently.
16
             Okay. With that, the meeting is adjourned and we
17
   will convene --
18
             COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: Three o'clock.
19
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: -- at 3:00 o'clock in the
20
   Board room. Is that closed?
21
             COMMITTEE MEMBER FECKNER: It's the auditorium.
22
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: In the auditorium.
23
             GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: Initially it is not
2.4
   closed --
25
             CHAIRPERSON SLATON: It's not closed, but it
```

will. GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: -- but that's just to take the vote as to whether to go into closed session. CHAIRPERSON SLATON: Got it. Everybody got that? 3:00 o'clock. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. (Thereupon California Public Employees' Retirement System, Board Governance Committee meeting adjourned at 2:45 PM) 

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,
Board of Administration, Board Governance Committee
meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters,
a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California,
and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by
computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of February, 2016.

James & Tittle

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
License No. 10063